

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 * * *

9 MICHAEL BRUCE BYNOE, Case No. 3:07-cv-00009-LRH-VPC
10 v. Petitioner,
11 HELLING, et al.,
12 Respondents.
13


14 This counseled 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas matter is before the court on the
15 petitioner Michael Bruce Bynoe's motion for leave to file notice of supplemental
16 authority (ECF No. 59). Respondents have indicated that they do not oppose the
17 motion and wish to respond (ECF No. 60). Good cause appearing,

18 **IT IS ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for leave to file notice of supplemental
19 authority in support of his Rule 60(b)(6) motion (ECF No. 59) is **GRANTED**. The Clerk
20 shall detach and file petitioner's notice of supplemental authority (ECF No. 59-1).

21 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents shall have **thirty (30) days** from
22 the date of entry of this order to file a response to the legal arguments in petitioner's
23 notice of supplemental authority.

24 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents' motion to extend time to file a
25 response to the Rule 60(b)(6) motion (ECF No. 55) is **GRANTED nunc pro tunc**.

26 DATED this 25th day of April, 2017.

27
28 
LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE